

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji –Goa

Tel No. 0832-2437908/2437208 email: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in website: www.gsic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No. 200/2020

Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye,
H.No. 35/A, Ward No. 11,
Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa.
403507.

.....Appellant

V/S

1. Public Information Officer,
Main Engineer II (Vyankatesh Sawant),
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa. 403507.

2. First Appellate Authority,
The Chief Officer (Kabir Shirgaonkar),
Mapusa Municipal Council,
Mapusa-Goa. 403507.

.....Respondents

Shri. Vishwas R. Satarkar

State Chief Information Commissioner

Filed on: 25/11/2020

Decided on: 25/02/2022

ORDER

1. The Appellant, Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye, H. No. 35/A, Ward No. 11, Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa, by his application dated 09/07/2020, filed under sec 6(1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter to be referred as 'Act') sought certain information from the Public Information Officer (PIO) of Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa-Goa.
2. Since the said application was not responded by the PIO within stipulated time, he preferred first appeal before the Chief Officer, Mapusa Municipal Council at Mapusa Goa being the First Appellate Authority (FAA).
3. The FAA by its order dated 15/10/2020 allowed the said appeal and directed the PIO to furnish the available information free of cost to the Appellant within 30 days.

4. In spite of the order, the PIO failed to furnish the information and therefore Appellant landed before the Commission with this second appeal under section 19(3) of the Act.
5. Parties were notified, pursuant to which the PIO, Mr. Vyankatesh Sawant appeared and filed his reply on 27/10/2021 along with bunch of documents and submitted that by letter No. ENGG/RTI/7013/2021 dated 26/10/2021 he has furnished available information to the Appellant and also placed on record a copy of documents to the otherside.
6. In spite of the valid service of notice, the Appellant failed and neglected to appear before the Commission for hearings on 31/03/2021, 20/07/2021, 26/08/2021, 28/09/2021, 27/10/2021, 30/11/2021 and 13/01/2022 and 25/02/2022.
7. Since the Appellant did not participate in the appeal proceeding despite of fair opportunities, I presume and hold that the Appellant is satisfied with the information provided by the PIO. Record indicates that available information has been furnished to the Appellant, and therefore the matter is disposed off.
 - Proceedings closed.
 - Pronounced in the open court.
 - Notify the parties.

Sd/-

(Vishwas R. Satarkar)

State Chief Information Commissioner